

REMARKS

Claims 1-7 are pending on the present application. Claims 1-7 have been allowed. The specification has been objected to for informalities. In light of the present amendments to the specification, Applicant submits that the reference "104 m sec" refers to the delay recited on page 6, line 10 (reference *d*). Withdrawal of the objection is earnestly requested.


The Office Action also requested that the Applicant provide drawings to illustrate a multitude of features (e.g., QoS parameters, measuring bandwidth rate, hardware used to implement method, etc.). Applicant respectfully submits that no additional drawings are not necessary for the understanding of the subject matter sought to be patented (35 U.S.C. §111). A drawing is not required under 35 U.S.C. 111 and 113 if the application contains at least one process claim including the term "process" or "method" in its introductory phrase (MPEP 608.02). It has been USPTO practice to treat an application that contains at least one process or method claim as an application for which a drawing is not necessary for an understanding of the invention under 35 U.S.C. 113 (MPEP 601.01(f)). Furthermore, the present application does not admit of illustration(s) and stands on the disclosure contained in the text. Moreover, the specification provides additional reference to specifications (RFC's) and articles for more detailed reference in the state of the art.

In light of the above, that the present application is in condition for allowance. Accordingly, the Applicants request that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Respectfully submitted,

BELL, BOYD & LLOYD LLC

BY



Peter Zura
Reg. No. 48,196
Customer No. 29177
Phone: (312) 807-4208

Dated: November 16, 2005